# ORIGINAL PAPER

# Genetic dissection of the temperature dependent emergence processes in sorghum using a cumulative emergence model and stability parameters

Karin Fiedler • Wubishet A. Bekele • Wolfgang Friedt · Rod Snowdon · Hartmut Stützel · Arndt Zacharias • Ralf Uptmoor

Received: 26 January 2012 / Accepted: 15 July 2012 / Published online: 31 July 2012 © Springer-Verlag 2012

Abstract Among the major limitations for cultivating biomass sorghum in temperate regions is low temperature in spring that results in low and non-uniform emergence. The adaptation of sorghum to tropical and subtropical highlands gives hint of genetic variation in cold tolerance during emergence. The objective of the present study was to detect marker–trait associations for parameters describing the emergence process under different temperature regimes. A diversity set comprising 194 genotypes was tested in nine controlled environments with temperatures ranging from 9.4 to 19.9 °C. The genotypes were fingerprinted with 171 DArT markers. A piecewise linear regression model carried out on cumulative emergence was used to estimate genotype mean performance across environments and to carry out stability analysis on the parameters of the regression model.

Communicated by I. Godwin.

Electronic supplementary material The online version of this article (doi:[10.1007/s00122-012-1941-4\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00122-012-1941-4) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

K. Fiedler ( $\boxtimes$ ) · H. Stützel · R. Uptmoor Institute of Biological Production Systems, Leibniz Universität Hannover, Herrenhäuser Straße 2, 30419 Hannover, Germany e-mail: fiedler@gem.uni-hannover.de

W. A. Bekele - W. Friedt - R. Snowdon Department of Plant Breeding, Justus-Liebig-University Giessen, Heinrich-Buff Ring 26-32, 35392 Giessen, Germany

A. Zacharias KWS Saat AG, Grimsehlstr. 31, 37555 Einbeck, Germany

Present Address: R. Uptmoor Department of Agronomy, University of Rostock, Justus-von-Liebig-Weg 6, 18059 Rostock, Germany Base temperature  $(T<sub>b</sub>)$  and thermal time required for emergence  $(E_{TS})$  were determined based on median time to emergence data. Identified QTL positions were compared to marker–trait associations for final emergence percentages under low (FEP<sub>cold</sub>) and normal (FEP<sub>normal</sub>) temperatures. QTL for mean final emergence percentage (FEP),  $FEP_{cold}$  and FEP<sub>normal</sub>,  $T<sub>b</sub>$  and  $E<sub>TS</sub>$  were detected on SBI-01. Other QTL-rich regions were located on SBI-03, SBI-04, SBI-06, SBI-08, and SBI-09. Marker–trait associations for  $T<sub>b</sub>$  and  $E<sub>TS</sub>$ co-localized to QTL for the across environment stability of FEP and the median time to emergence or emergence rate, respectively. We conclude that genome regions on six chromosomes highly influencing cold tolerance during emergence are promising for regional association studies and for the development of stable markers for marker-assisted selection.

# Introduction

Developing cold tolerant biomass sorghum genotypes is an important breeding goal in order to have an alternative crop to maize, which presently dominates the area cultivated for methane production in Central Europe. Sorghum is a thermophilic crop, mainly grown in the semi-arid tropics and subtropics. Low soil temperature in spring may delay planting time or result in low and non-uniform emergence. Planting is recommended when stable seedbed temperatures of more than  $10^{\circ}$ C are achieved (Anda and Pinter [1994](#page-12-0); Brar and Stewart [1994\)](#page-13-0).

Agronomists and plant physiologists used different approaches to describe germination and emergence: final germination or emergence rate (Tiryaki and Buyukcingil [2009](#page-14-0)), germination indices (Afzal et al. [2008\)](#page-12-0) and time to onset  $(T_1)$ , end  $(T_{100})$  and median time to emergence  $(T_{50})$ or germination derived from functions describing the

germination process regressed against time (Snapp et al. [2008\)](#page-14-0). Several single-value germination indices, e.g. Kotowski's coefficient of velocity (Kotowski [1926\)](#page-13-0) or Timson's cumulative germination index (Timson [1965\)](#page-14-0) are widely used but final values cannot be traced back to direct measures for  $T_1$ , emergence rate (ER) and time span of emergence  $(T_{100} - T_1)$ , which describe the germination or emergence process (Brown and Mayer [1988a](#page-13-0)). The emergence process is important since both final percentage of emergence and the time, when emergence occurs, are temperature dependant, and good field emergence requires high rates of uniformly germinating seeds under both optimum and low temperature conditions (Kanemasu et al. [1975\)](#page-13-0). Logistic regressions carried out on cumulative germination rates are commonly used to describe germination (Hsu et al. [1984](#page-13-0); Schimpf et al. [1977](#page-13-0)). Several functions describing the germination process were compared by Brown and Mayer ([1988b\)](#page-13-0) who recommended the Weibull function (Weibull [1951](#page-14-0)). However, comparability of germination curves computed from data of different temperature regimes is limited (Dumur et al. [1990\)](#page-13-0). The Weibull function for instance has a parameter describing the shape of the regression, which has an effect on the rate of increase of germination but no biological meaning. An alternative approach is the use of piecewise linear regression models (Kempenaar and Schnieders [1995\)](#page-13-0). The advantages are (1) the possibility to directly compare model parameters from different datasets since parameters are not interrelated, i.e., the change of one model parameter does not necessarily lead to a change of a second parameter and (2) model parameters describe physiological processes or are simple statistical measures.

Genotypic differences in temperature response and base temperatures ranging from 5.9 to 9.8  $\degree$ C were reported for germination of 16 sorghum cultivars (Wade et al. [1993\)](#page-14-0). Genetic variation in base temperature and emergence rates at low temperatures was assumed to be the result of adaptation processes (Tiryaki and Andrews [2002](#page-14-0)). Chinese landraces had higher germination percentages and shorter time to 50 % germination at low temperatures than US breeding lines (Franks et al. [2006\)](#page-13-0).

Quantitative trait loci (QTL) analysis for cold tolerance is a useful tool and a first step towards marker-assisted selection of cold tolerant genotypes (Knoll and Ejeta [2008](#page-13-0)). QTLs for germination rate were found in rice (Ji et al. [2009](#page-13-0)), wild barley (Vanhala and Stam [2006](#page-14-0)) and sorghum (Burow et al. [2011;](#page-13-0) Knoll et al. [2008](#page-13-0)). Knoll et al. [\(2008](#page-13-0)) identified QTL for field emergence in sorghum recombinant inbred lines (RIL) developed from a cross between a caudatum of African origin and the cold tolerant Chinese kaoliang 'Shan Qui Red' on chromosome SBI-01. Cold tolerance QTL were detected in the same region of SBI-01 by Burow et al. ([2011\)](#page-13-0).

For identifying QTL for adaptation processes, multi-environment trials are needed. Lacaze et al. [\(2009\)](#page-13-0) carried out QTL analysis in a bi-parental barley population on the slope of individual genotype trait values regressed against the population mean in different environments. Kraakman et al. ([2004\)](#page-13-0) used a set of modern spring barley cultivars in order to detect marker–trait associations for mean yield and yield stability across environments. El Soda et al. [\(2010](#page-13-0)) used stability parameters to detect QTL for drought tolerance in wild barley introgression lines. It has been suggested that stability parameters can be used to distinguish between loci, in which constitutive genes are directly influenced by the environment and loci distinct from the constitutive genes but regulating them.

In contrast to QTL mapping in bi-parental crosses, association studies can be carried out on structured and unstructured populations, potentially carrying more than two alleles on a certain locus (Flint-Garcia et al. [2003\)](#page-13-0). Advantages of association studies are that time consuming and expensive development of bi-parental crosses is not needed and a wider gene pool can be analyzed (Neumann et al. [2010\)](#page-13-0). Genome-wide association studies were carried out, e.g., on traits like days to heading, culm diameter, leaf length and width in sorghum using SSR markers (Shehzad et al. [2009](#page-13-0)). Association mapping with Diversity Array Technology markers (DArT) was reported for barley (Pswarayi et al. [2008](#page-13-0)) and wheat (Crossa et al. [2007](#page-13-0)). The disadvantages are that DArT markers are bi-allelic and dominant and are based on unknown sequences (Mace et al. [2008\)](#page-13-0). However, compared to SSRs, DArT markers allow a cost efficient and fast genome-wide genotyping.

The objective of the present study was to detect marker– trait associations for emergence across different temperature regimes in sorghum. The process of emergence in the different temperature regimes was described by cumulative emergence percentages (CEP) over time in order to derive traits like FEP,  $T_{100} - T_1$  and ER from piecewise linear regressions carried out on CEP. Since superior genotypes show high emergence percentages in a wide range of environments while emergence takes place shortly after sowing and all plants emerge nearly at the same time, the parameters FEP, ER,  $T_1$ ,  $T_{50}$ ,  $T_{100}$  and  $T_{100} - T_1$  are relevant. To evaluate the temperature effect on emergence, across environment means (M) and Finlay– Wilkinson slopes (FW) (Finlay and Wilkinson [1963](#page-13-0)) were estimated. FEP was computed separately for low and normal temperatures and base temperature  $(T<sub>b</sub>)$  and thermal time  $(E_{TS})$  were calculated based on  $T_{50}$  data. Genome-wide association studies were carried out on these parameters.

## Materials and methods

## Plant material

The study was carried out on a diverse set of genotypes comprising 194 biomass sorghum lines. The set includes

Sorghum bicolor and S. bicolor sudanense genotypes. DNA was extracted from leaf tips using the cetyl trimethylammonium bromide (CTAB) method. The genotypes were fingerprinted with 688 polymorphic DArT markers. Marker positions were taken from Mace et al. [\(2008](#page-13-0)). Unmapped markers and completely linked markers were excluded from the study and further 115 markers with frequencies  $\leq 5$  % of the rare allele were also removed. Association studies were carried out using the remaining 171 polymorphic DArTs.

# Experimental design and data collection

The experiment was conducted in growth chambers set to nine temperature regimes ranging from 9.4 to 19.9 °C. Overall mean, mean night and day air and soil temperatures are shown in Table 1. A mean temperature of 9.4  $^{\circ}$ C was used as lowest temperature treatment since pretests on a population subset revealed that the base temperature of emergence is expected to be higher than  $8^{\circ}$ C and lower than  $11 \text{ °C}$  for most of the lines. Air and soil temperature was measured every 5 min directly above the trays and at 10 mm depth using TinyTag View 2 data loggers (Gemini Data Loggers Ltd., West Sussex, UK) during the entire duration of the study.

Individual temperature regimes were arranged as randomized complete block designs with two replications. Light was applied for 12 h with 10 h full light and 2 h twilight. The genotypes were sown in trays filled with 50 % Klasmann Potgrond P (Klasmann-Deilmann, Groß-Hesepe, Germany) and 50 % loamy humic sand. A total of 18 seeds per line, treatment and replication were sown at 10 mm depth.

Table 1 Average daily mean, night and day air and mean soil temperatures in the nine temperature treatments

Environment	Air temperature mean (night/day) $(^{\circ}C)$	Soil temperature mean $(^{\circ}C)$	
1	9.4(8.3/10.2)	10.0	
2	10.3(9.2/11.0)	10.3	
3	10.7(9.8/11.4)	10.7	
$\overline{4}$	10.8(9.6/11.6)	11.0	
5	11.6 (10.4/12.2)	11.6	
6	12.3 (11.7/12.8)	12.5	
7	16.7 (11.2/24.0)	a	
8	17.2 (10.0/26.0)		
9	19.9 (15.4/25.7)		
Cold conditions <sup>b</sup>	10.3		
Normal conditions <sup>c</sup>	17.9		

Soil temperature was not measured in treatments 7–9

<sup>b</sup> Mean over environment 1–4

<sup>c</sup> Mean over environment 7–9

The number of emerged seeds was counted daily until no further seeds emerged. A plant was defined as emerged if the coleoptile was visible. Cumulative emergence percentage (CEP) for each day was calculated using the following equation:

$$
CEP = \Sigma NES_i/18 \times 100 \tag{1}
$$

where  $NES_i$  is the number of seeds emerged on day i and 18 is the total number of seeds. Mean CEPs of the two replications were calculated and used for parameter estimation.

## Data analysis

A piecewise linear regression was fitted to cumulative emergence percentages (Fig. [1](#page-3-0)) in order to derive the parameters onset of emergence  $(T_1)$ , median time to emergence  $(T_{50})$ , emergence rate (ER) and end of emergence  $(T_{100})$  using SAS 9.1 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA). The equation used was:

$$
CEP = 0 \t t \le T
$$
  
\n
$$
CEP = ER \t (t - T_1) \t T_1 < t < T_{100}
$$
  
\n
$$
CEP = ER \t (T_{100} - T_1) \t t \ge T_{100},
$$
\n(2)

where  $t$  is the actual number of days from sowing (DAS). CEP equals to final emergence percentage (FEP) if  $t \geq T_{100}$ . The regression slope between  $T_1$  and  $T_{100}$  is the estimator for the daily emergence rate (ER).  $T_{50}$  was estimated as follows:

$$
T_{50} = T_1 + 0.5 \text{ FEP/ER.}
$$
 (3)

Time span of emergence or uniformity of emergence was defined as  $T_{100} - T_1$ . For comparing the genotypes over a series of environments, stability analysis was carried out according to Finlay and Wilkinson ([1963](#page-13-0)). Genotype performance across environments was estimated by regressing individual genotypes against the population mean:

$$
Y_{ij} = \mu + \beta_i e_j + g_i \tag{4}
$$

where  $\mu$  is the overall population mean,  $\beta_i$  is the linear regression coefficient for the *i*th genotype,  $e_i$  is the effect of the jth environment and  $g_i$  is the effect of the *i*th genotype.

Data was subjected to analysis of covariance using the following model for  $i = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, k$  genotypes and  $j = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, n$  environments:

$$
Y_{ij} = \mu + \tau_i + \beta x_{ij} + \gamma_i x_{ij} + \varepsilon_{ij}
$$
\n<sup>(5)</sup>

where  $\mu_i = \mu + \tau_i$  is the intercept of the *i*th genotype,  $FW_i = \beta x_{ij} + \gamma_i x_{ij}$  is the slope of the genotype performance of genotype  $i$  in nine environments regressed against the population means of the environments (Finlay and Wilkinson [1963\)](#page-13-0) and  $\varepsilon_{ij}$  is the random error of the *i*th <span id="page-3-0"></span>Fig. 1 Piecewise linear regression for calculating onset  $(T_1)$  and end  $(T_{100})$  of emergence, uniformity  $(T_{100} - T_1)$ , emergence rate (ER) (regression slope) and the median of emergence time  $(T_{50})$ (a) and  $T_1$ ,  $T_{100}$ ,  $T_{100} - T_1$ ,  $T_{50}$ and FEP of the population mean in nine temperature treatments (b)



genotype in the jth environment. Analysis of covariance was carried out on the parameters  $T_1$ ,  $T_{50}$ ,  $T_{100}$ ,  $T_{100} - T_1$ , ER and on FEP. FEP was arcsine-square root transformed prior to carrying out analysis of covariance.

Mean final emergence percentage over environments 1, 2, 3, and 4 was considered as FEP under low temperature conditions (FEP $_{\rm cold}$ ) while FEP of environments 7, 8, and 9 was averaged to define FEP under normal conditions (FEP<sub>normal</sub>). Two factorial analysis of variance (proc GLM SAS 9.1) was carried out on arcsine-square root transformed data considering FEP<sub>cold</sub> and FEP<sub>normal</sub> as two treatments with the individual temperature regimes as 4 or 3 replications.

Linear regression analysis was carried out on developmental rates  $(1/T_{50})$  of the 9 temperature regimes. Base temperature  $(T<sub>b</sub>)$  was estimated by linear extrapolation to define the temperature at which the development rate becomes 0:

$$
T_{bi} = -\beta_{0i}/\beta_i. \tag{6}
$$

where  $\beta_i$  is the regression slope and  $\beta_{0i}$  is the y-axis intercept of the ith genotype. The temperature sum required for emergence  $(E_{TS})$  was defined as  $1/\beta_i$ .

Pearson's correlation coefficients were calculated between parameters and across environment means of traits. Variance components were assessed using restricted maximum likelihood (REML) estimates (proc MIXED, SAS 9.1). Broad sense heritability  $(h^2)$  was calculated according to Hill et al. ([1998\)](#page-13-0):

$$
h^{2} = \frac{\sigma_{\rm G}^{2}}{\sigma_{\rm G}^{2} + \sigma_{\rm GxE}^{2} \frac{1}{n} + \sigma^{2} \frac{1}{n}}
$$
(7)

where  $\sigma_G^2$  is the genotypic variance,  $\sigma_{G \times E_2}^2$  is the genotype  $\times$  environment interaction variance,  $\sigma^2$  is the error variance, and  $n$  is the number of environments.

The population structure of 194 individuals was determined using the software package STRUCTURE assuming

an admixture model (Pritchard et al. [2000](#page-13-0)). We used a burn-in phase of 10,000 iterations followed by 10,000 Markov chain Monte Carlo iterations in order to detect the "true" number of K groups in the range of  $K = 1-20$ possible groups.  $\delta K$  was calculated according to Evanno et al. [\(2005](#page-13-0)). The cluster analysis was carried out with TASSEL 2.01 using the neighbor-joining method.

Linkage disequilibrium (LD) parameters were estimated by using the software TASSEL 2.01 (Bradbury et al. [2007](#page-13-0)). The  $p$  values of pairwise LD were computed using  $1,000$ permutations. LD was calculated for all pairs of loci. The critical  $R^2$  for unlinked loci was estimated after square root transformation of the  $R^2$  values (Breseghello and Sorrells [2006](#page-13-0)). The 95 % percentile of this distribution is the threshold beyond which LD was likely to be caused by genetic linkage. A second-degree LOESS curve was plotted through the  $R^2$  data and the point of intersection with the threshold value was used as the genome-wide estimate of LD among loci (Breseghello and Sorrells [2006](#page-13-0)).

TASSEL 2.01 (Bradbury et al. [2007](#page-13-0)) was used for identifying significant associations between the 171 markers and a total of 16 traits. The data were subjected to both a general linear model (GLM) and a mixed linear model (MLM) (Zhang et al. [2010](#page-14-0)). The Q-matrix, which shows the probability that a genotype belongs to a subpopulation, was estimated with STRUCTURE and used in both models. A kinship matrix was computed with TASSEL 2.01 and used in MLM. An F test with 1,000 permutations was carried out in order to adjust  $p$  values of GLM (Churchill and Doerge [1994\)](#page-13-0).

For verification of significant marker–trait associations, the population was divided into two subpopulations at each relevant locus according to the allelic state of the individuals and pairwise t tests ( $p < 0.05$ ) were performed in order to test if the marker genotypes differ significantly for the respective trait.

## <span id="page-4-0"></span>**Results**

Figure [1](#page-3-0)b shows that FEP increased with increasing temperature. FEP of the population mean was 95.7 % at 19.9 °C but less than 80 % if air temperature was below 10.8 °C. Lowest FEP was 35.8 % at 9.4 °C. Mean FEP<sub>cold</sub> was 61.6 % and ranged between 12.5 and 93.1 % while mean  $\text{FEP}_{\text{normal}}$  was 93.3 % (Table 2).

 $T_{100} - T_1$  decreased with increasing temperatures and both onset and end of emergence occurred earlier at higher temperatures. Mean  $T_{100} - T_1$  was 8.1 days across all temperature regimes (Table 2). Emergence started on average 10.2 DAS and ended 18.3 DAS. The population mean of ER averaged over environments was 15.4 % days<sup>-1</sup> and ranged between 6 % days<sup>-1</sup> at 9.4 °C and 27 % days<sup>-1</sup> at 19.9 °C.  $T_{50}$  of the population mean was achieved 18.5 DAS at 9.4 °C and 11 DAS at 19.9 °C.  $T<sub>b</sub>$ ranged from 5.1 to 8.7 °C, mean  $E_{TS}$  was 54.2 °C day and ranged between 41.6 and 93.3  $\degree$ C day.

Table 2 Genotype mean, minimum and maximum across all nine environments and the mean, minimum, maximum and average  $R^2$  of Finlay–Wilkinson slopes for the parameters final emergence rate (FEP), emergence rate (ER), onset  $(T_1)$  and end  $(T_{100})$  of emergence, the median of emergence time  $(T_{50})$  and uniformity  $(T_{100} - T_1)$ . Genotype mean, minimum, and maximum for FEP under cold and normal conditions, base temperature  $(T<sub>b</sub>)$  and thermal time  $(E<sub>TS</sub>)$ 

	Genotype			Average $R^2$
	Mean	Max	Min	
FEP $(\% )$				
Cold	61.6	93.1	12.5	
Normal	93.3	100	60.2	
MW	77.0	95.7	35.8	
<b>FW</b>	$\mathbf{1}$	1.8	$-0.06$	0.80
ER (% days <sup>-1</sup> )				
MW	15.4	27	6.0	
FW.	1	2.4	0.3	0.78
$T_1$ (DAS)				
MW	10.2	13.6	7.7	
<b>FW</b>	$\mathbf{1}$	1.5	0.6	0.95
$T_{50}$ (DAS)				
MW	14.3	18.5	11.0	
<b>FW</b>	$\mathbf{1}$	1.3	0.6	0.98
T <sub>b</sub>	7.7	8.7	5.1	
$E_{TS}$	54.2	93.3	41.6	
$T_{100}$ (DAS)				
MW	18.3	22.8	13.6	
<b>FW</b>	$\mathbf{1}$	1.3	0.5	0.96
$T_{100} - T_1$ (days)				
MW	8.1	11.4	4.8	
<b>FW</b>	$\mathbf{1}$	1.8	0.2	0.77

Analysis of covariance revealed that both the genotype and the genotype  $\times$  environment interaction (GEI) effect were significant for all analyzed traits (Table 3). Genotype effects were highly significant for FEP,  $T_1$ ,  $T_{50}$  and ER  $(p<0.001)$  but also significant for  $T_{100}$   $(p<0.05)$  and  $T_{100} - T_1$  ( $p < 0.01$ ). Estimated  $h^2$  was highest for FEP (0.92) (Table [4](#page-5-0)). For all other traits  $h^2$  ranged between 0.73 and 0.86. Analysis of variance for  $FEP_{\text{cold}}$  and  $FEP_{\text{normal}}$ revealed that genotype and temperature effects were significant while genotype  $\times$  temperature interaction effect was not statistically significant ( $p = 0.07$ ) (Table [5](#page-5-0)).

Results of FEPcold and FEP<sub>normal</sub>, stability analysis for FEP,  $T_1$ ,  $T_{50}$ ,  $T_{100}$ , ER, and  $T_{100} - T_1$  as well as  $T_b$  and  $E_{TS}$ are shown in Fig. [2](#page-6-0). For FEP (b) and ER (g), a high genotype mean and a small FW illustrates the superiority of a genotype. A small genotype mean and FW is desirable for the traits  $T_1$  (c),  $T_{50}$  (d),  $T_{100}$  (e) and  $T_{100} - T_1$  (f). Ranges of FW are shown in Table 2. Highest variation of

Table 3 Covariance analysis for final emergence percentage (FEP), emergence rate (ER), onset  $(T_1)$  and end  $(T_{100})$  of emergence, the median of emergence time  $(T_{50})$  and uniformity  $(T_{100} - T_1)$ 

	Covariance analysis			
	df	Sum of squares	Mean squares	$\boldsymbol{p}$
FEP				
gen	193	85,754	444	< 0.0001
env	1	470,152	470,152	< 0.0001
gen*env	193	52,165	270	< 0.0001
ER				
gen	193	14,514	75	< 0.0001
env	1	212,626	212,626	< 0.0001
$gen \times env$	193	47,954	248	< 0.0001
$T_{1}$				
gen	193	573	3	< 0.0001
env	1	52,035	52,035	< 0.0001
$gen \times env$	193	2,122	11	< 0.0001
$T_{50}$				
gen	193	503	3	< 0.0001
env	1	99,248	99,248	< 0.0001
$gen \times env$	193	1,926	10	< 0.0001
$T_{100}$				
gen	193	1,063	6	0.0419
env	$\mathbf{1}$	157,010	157,010	< 0.0001
$gen \times env$	193	3,031	16	< 0.0001
$T_{100} - T_1$				
gen	193	1,459.2	7.6	0.0136
env	$\mathbf{1}$	29,162.1	29,162.1	< 0.0001
$gen \times env$	193	2,754.0	14.3	< 0.0001

DAS days after sowing

df degree of freedom, gen genotype effect, env environment effect,  $gen \times env$  interaction of genotype and environment

<span id="page-5-0"></span>Table 4 Variance components and heritability of final emergence percentage (FEP), emergence rate (ER), onset  $(T_1)$  and end  $(T_{100})$  of emergence, the median of emergence time  $(T_{50})$  and uniformity  $(T_{100} - T_1)$ 

	Variance components			Heritability	
	$\sigma_{\rm G}^2$	$\sigma_{\rm G\times E}^2$	$\sigma^2$	h <sup>2</sup>	
<b>FEP</b>	119.7	19.2	78.4	0.92	
ER	7.9	1.1	46.4	0.60	
$T_1$	6.0	4.2	4.9	0.86	
$T_{50}$	5.6	8.0	6.5	0.78	
$T_{100}$	7.4	12.7	12.2	0.73	
$T_{100} - T_1$	3.8	2.2	8.5	0.76	

 $\sigma_{\rm E}^2$ ,  $\sigma_{\rm G}^2$ ,  $\sigma_{\rm GxE}^2$  and  $\sigma^2$  are variances of the environment, genotype, genotype  $\times$  environment interactions and error variances

\*\*\*,\*\*,\* significant at the 0.001, 0.01 and 0.05 probability level

Table 5 Analysis of variance (ANOVA) for final emergence percentage under cold ( $FEP_{\text{cold}}$ ) and normal ( $FEP_{\text{normal}}$ ) conditions

	Variance analysis			
	df	Sum of squares	Mean squares	p
gen	193	106.821	553	< 0.0001
temp		229,320	229,320	< 0.0001
$gen \times temp$	193	20,724	107	0.07
block		10,675	10,675	< 0.0001

df degree of freedom, gen genotype effect, temp temperature effect,  $gen \times temp$  interaction of genotype and temperature

FW among genotypes was observed for ER.  $ER_{(FW)}$  ranged from 0.3 to 2.4. Average  $R^2$  for FW ranged between 0.77 for  $T_{100} - T_1$  and 0.98 for  $T_{50}$  (Table [2](#page-4-0)). A low  $T_b$  in combination with a short  $E_{TS}$  indicates a desirable genotype Fig. [2h](#page-6-0).

Correlations between mean genotype performance and FW were significant for  $T_1$ ,  $T_{50}$  and  $T_{100}$  (ESM\_Table 1) while correlation between  $FEP_{(M)}$  and  $FEP_{(FW)}$  was statistically not significant.  $T<sub>b</sub>$  was significantly correlated to  $T_{\rm 50(FW)}$  (0.54  $p < 0.001$ ) and  $E_{\rm TS}$  (-0.78,  $p < 0.001$ ) while correlations between  $T_{50(FW)}$  and  $E_{TS}$  were statistically not significant.

Maximum value of  $\delta K$  occurred at  $K = 2$ . Accordingly, each of the 194 lines was assigned to one of the  $K = 2$ groups, 54 lines (28 %) belong to group 1 while the remaining 140 lines  $(72 \%)$  belong to group 2 (Fig. [3a](#page-7-0)). Most genotypes of group 1 are members of the S. bicolor sudanense clusters of Fig. [3b](#page-7-0). These are the clusters from genotype 9 to 189 at the bottom and from genotype 117 to 28 on the right hand.

LD in relation to the genetic distance of marker pairs on the same chromosome is shown for the whole population (Fig. [4b](#page-8-0)) and for the two subpopulations group 1 and 2 (Fig. [4c](#page-8-0), d). LD of marker pairs from different chromosomes is illustrated by box and whisker plots. For the whole population, significant LD ( $p<0.05$ ) was observed for 723 marker pairs (50.6 %) located on the same chromosome. Mean  $R^2$  for all intrachromosomal marker pairs was 0.08. Group 2 showed less marker pairs (19.5 %) significantly in LD compared to group 1 (28.5 %). Mean  $R^2$ for all intrachromosomal marker pairs of group 1 was 0.08 (1,427 marker pairs) and 0.05 in group 2 (1,222 marker pairs). The critical  $\mathbb{R}^2$  value was 0.53 for the whole population and 0.54 and 0.40 for group 1 and 2, respectively. Beyond this value, LD was likely to be caused by genetic linkage. Mean distance of marker pairs showing an LD beyond this threshold was 13.2 cM in the whole population while in the groups mean distance was 30 cM (group 2) and 24.4 cM (group 1). The LOESS curve did not cross the critical  $R^2$  baseline in all cases, which gives hint that LD decayed fast. Another indicator for fast LD decay is that mean  $R^2$  fell constantly below 0.15 if the distance was larger than 8 cM (Fig. [4a](#page-8-0)).

A comparison of both methods, GLM without permutation test and MLM using the rank sum method according to Stich et al. [\(2008](#page-14-0)) shows that mean squared difference (MSD) between observed and expected p-values of GLM are for all traits higher than MSD of MLM (Table [6](#page-9-0)).

Table [6](#page-9-0) shows the number of significant marker–trait associations identified with GLM after carrying out the permutation test and MLM. A total of 102 marker–trait associations was congruently detected by both models while 174 loci were significantly associated to one of the analyzed traits using MLM and 196 loci using GLM. The highest number of significant marker–trait associations was detected for  $E_{TS}$  and  $T_{1(M)}$ . Application of GLM revealed 39 marker–trait associations for  $E_{TS}$  while 14 marker–trait associations were detected using MLM. Only 11 of the loci turned out to be significant in both models. Only 3 loci were significant applying both models on  $T_{100} - T_{1(M)}$ data.

ESM\_Table 2 shows marker–trait associations that were significant using both GLM and MLM models. Means and standard deviations for the trait values of the two groups of marker genotypes are shown. The common allele is defined as the predominant allele. Pairwise  $t$  tests comparing the rare and common allele revealed that 17 marker–trait associations significant in both models, GLM and MLM, were not significant. E.g., all the traits  $T_{1(M)}$ ,  $T_{1(FW)}$ and  $T_{50(M)}$  were associated to marker loci sPb-6748 and sPb-3298 on chromosome SBI-09 according to GLM and MLM but the  $t$  test showed no significance between trait values of the marker-genotype groups.

If marker–trait associations not significant according to the  $t$  test are excluded, a total of 85 marker–trait

<span id="page-6-0"></span>Fig. 2 Final emergence percentage under cold  $(FEP_{cold})$ and normal  $(FEP_{normal})$ conditions (a), Finlay– Wilkinson regression for calculating Finlay–Wilkinson slope (FW) and across environment mean (M) for FEP (b), onset  $(T_1)$  (c), median time to emergence  $(T_{50})$  (d), end of emergence  $(T_{100})$  (e), uniformity  $(T_{100} - T_1)$  (f) and emergence rate (ER) (g) in nine environments and the relationship between development rates and mean air temperatures for calculating base temperature  $(T<sub>b</sub>)$  and thermal time for emergence  $(E_{TS})$  (h).  $E_{TS}$  is the inverse of regression slope (sl). Filled symbols indicate the genotype with highest FEP  $(a, b)$  or emergence rate (g) and development rates of  $T_{50}$  (h) or the shortest duration of  $T_1$  (c),  $T_{50}$  (d),  $T_{100}$  (e) and uniformity (f), respectively. Open symbols represent the worst performing genotype. Selection was done for each trait separately while selection criterion for  $T<sub>b</sub>$  and  $E_{TS}$  was  $T_{50}$ 



<span id="page-7-0"></span>Fig. 3 Population structure (a) and neighbor-joining dendrogram (b) of the diversity set. The population structure shows two distinct groups: Group 1 is represented by *grey* boxes (a) or a grey ellipse (b) and group 2 is represented by white boxes



<span id="page-8-0"></span>Fig. 4 Mean  $R^2$  values for different centimorgan (cM) classes (a). Linkage disequilibrium parameter  $R^2$ plotted against the genetic distance in cM for the whole population (b), group 1 (54 genotypes) (c) and group 2 (140 genotypes) (d). The bottom black line shows the seconddegree LOESS curve. Box plots show the distribution of  $R^2$ derived from pairwise LD of unlinked loci, dotted lines indicate the median and straight lines represent the mean. Boxes show the 25 and 75 % percentile, whiskers the 95 and 5 % percentile. The critical  $R^2$ is given by horizontal black lines



associations remain for 16 traits (Fig. [5\)](#page-10-0). Out of them, 24 markers were associated with only one trait and 20 markers were associated with between two and six traits. A total of 42 temperature response QTL, marker–trait associations for FW,  $T<sub>b</sub>$  and  $E<sub>TS</sub>$ , were found while 14 of them were detected on chromosome SBI-08. Some marker–traits associations were detected for genotype mean and FW at the same position, e.g. for  $T_{100} - T_1$  on chromosome SBI-01,  $T_1$  on chromosomes SBI-03, SBI-06 and SBI-09,  $T_{50}$  on chromosomes SBI-03, SBI-04 and SBI-09 and  $T_{100}$ on chromosomes SBI-04, SBI-08 and SBI-09. Marker–trait associations for  $\text{FEP}_{(M)}$  and  $\text{FEP}_{(FW)}$  did not co-localize. Four marker–trait associations for  $FEP_{(M)}$  were located on chromosome SBI-01 between 25 and 66 cM. Marker–trait associations for  $FEP_{(FW)}$  were found on SBI-03, SBI-04, SBI-05, SBI-08, SBI-09 and SBI-10. Co-localization of marker–trait associations for  $FEP_{cold}$  and  $FEP_{(M)}$  was detected on chromosome SBI-01 and SBI-03 while marker– trait associations for  $\text{FEP}_{\text{normal}}$  did not co-localize with  $\text{FEP}_{\text{cold}}$ ,  $\text{FEP}_{\text{(M)}}$  or  $\text{FEP}_{\text{(FW)}}$ . Marker-trait associations for  $T<sub>b</sub>$  and  $T<sub>50(FW)</sub>$  were co-located on chromosome SBI-01 and SBI-08, while  $E_{TS}$  and  $ER_{(FW)}$  were associated with sPb-0258, sPb-1661 and sPb-1881 on chromosome SBI-08.

A positive effect of the rare allele on across environment means (reducing  $T_1$ ,  $T_{100}$  and  $T_{100} - T_1$  and increasing FEP and ER) was observed for 21 markers. The rare allele of sPb-7795 on chromosome SBI-03 increased  $FEP_{(M)}$ while the rare allele of sPb-7290 on chromosome SBI-06 caused an earlier  $T_{1(M)}$ . Reducing  $T_{100} - T_{1(M)}$  was associated with the rare alleles of sPb-3801, sPb-4081 and sPb-9894 on SBI-01, SBI-02 and SBI-03 while sPb-4081 and sPb-1925 on chromosome SBI-02 increased  $ER<sub>(M)</sub>$ .

## Discussion

Statistical models and crop models for QTL detection

The objective of the present study was to identify marker– trait associations for sorghum emergence under a broad range of temperature regimes. Sorghum cultivation in temperate climates requires the development of genotypes with high FEP under both low and optimum temperature

<span id="page-9-0"></span>Table 6 Mean squared difference (MSD) of generalized linear model (GLM) without permutation test and a mixed linear model (MLM) data, number of significant marker–trait associations using GLM with permutation test and MLM and number of significant marker–trait associations using both models

	<b>MSD</b>		Number of marker-trait associations		
	<b>GLM</b>	MLM	<b>GLM</b>	MLM	GLM and MLM
FEP					
Cold	0.069	0.156	7	12	5
Normal	0.021	0.003	8	14	8
MW	0.019	0.006	7	9	6
FW	0.663	0.002	18	12	8
ER					
<b>MW</b>	0.018	0.0006	7	9	6
FW	0.312	0.001	9	9	6
$T_1$					
MW	0.402	0.0002	12	13	9
<b>FW</b>	0.139	0.031	8	11	7
$T_{50}$					
MW	0.688	0.003	11	12	7
<b>FW</b>	0.288	0.001	- 9	10	6
$T_{\rm b}$	0.744	0.001	35	10	$\overline{4}$
$E_{\rm TS}$	0.513	0.002	39	14	11
$T_{100}$					
MW	0.351	0.182	10	14	6
<b>FW</b>	0.193	0.003	7	10	5
$T_{100} - T_1$					
MW	0.008	0.001	3	7	3
FW	0.009	0.001	5	8	5

 $FEP$  final emergence percentage,  $ER$  emergence rate,  $T_1$  onset of emergence,  $T_{50}$  median time to emergence,  $T_{100}$  end of emergence,  $T_{100} - T_1$  uniformity, FW Finlay–Wilkinson slope, MW mean across environments, cold FEP under cold conditions, normal FEP under normal conditions,  $T<sub>b</sub>$  base temperature,  $E<sub>TS</sub>$  thermal time

conditions, such ideotypes should emerge uniformly and shortly after sowing. The latter makes it necessary to understand emergence as a process, which is described best by CEP, allowing a precise estimation of  $T_1$ ,  $T_{100}$ ,  $T_{50}$ , ER and  $T_{100} - T_1$ . Using piecewise linear regressions allowed us to describe the variability of the emergence process of 194 sorghum genotypes grown in nine temperature regimes, while it was not possible to generate model parameters for all genotypes in any environment if the Weibull function was applied (data not shown). Generally, Weibull is highly recommended for describing germination and emergence data (Brown and Mayer [1988b](#page-13-0)). However, simplicity and flexibility as well as independence and biological interpretability of all parameters (Vieth et al. [1989\)](#page-14-0) make piecewise linear regressions the model of choice, enabling the direct comparison of largely contrasting genotypes cultivated under a broad range of environmental conditions (Trudgill et al. [2000](#page-14-0)).

For marker-assisted selection it is necessary to identify QTLs that are stable across environments (Burow et al. [2011](#page-13-0)). Under situations of environmental stress, reproducibility of phenotypic data and QTL detection are low. Traits that are highly influenced by environmental factors can by definition not produce the same results in different environments. A challenge is to carry out QTL analysis directly on parameters of the response curves of a trait to its influencing factors, thus, genetic dissection of adaptation processes is done best by using mathematical functions (e.g., growth functions) for QTL detection (Reymond et al. [2003](#page-13-0)). Stability parameters are good statistical estimators for such a situation and they have already been used to distinguish between QTL for the trait itself and for GEI effects (Kraakman et al. [2004](#page-13-0); Lacaze et al. [2009\)](#page-13-0).

In contrast to stability parameters,  $T<sub>b</sub>$  and  $E<sub>TS</sub>$  are broadly used crop-modeling parameters and theoretically can be used for predicting mean emergence time of any genotype in different environments. Predicting the performance of different genotypes in different environments is a major goal for combining crop-modeling approaches with quantitative genetic analyses. However, the use of stability parameters has several advantages. Detailed environmental and climatic data is lacking in many state of the art breeding trials. Multi-environment trials with many factors, which cannot be controlled completely (e.g., temperature, soil type and structure, rainfall), are commonly used to carry out stability analyses. The linear regression model for estimating  $T<sub>b</sub>$  and  $E<sub>TS</sub>$  works since  $1/T<sub>50</sub>$  data of all genotypes is within the linear increase of emergence time in relation to temperature for the sampled environments. This does not hold true for all traits. Functions that fit the temperature response of FEP of individual genotypes used in the present study would include exponential, linear, and monomolecular ones since not all temperatures from the minimum to the optimum for the individuals were sampled. As parameters of different functions (e.g., exponential and monomolecular) cannot be used simultaneously for QTL detection, stability parameters, which have the disadvantage not to represent real physiological responses to the environment, are an adequate compromise in many situations (El Soda et al. [2010\)](#page-13-0). Stability analyses applied on data from controlled environments, e.g., varying only in temperature, are not common but have the main advantage that different reactions of genotypes can be traced back to a single influencing factor. In conclusion, QTL for FW in our study are truly temperature response QTL, which neither interacted with nor were affected by other environmental variables.

In our study, 11 of 32 marker–trait associations for FW co-locate with genotype mean performance QTL of the analogous trait. The only trait with no co-localization of FW and genotype mean performance was FEP. According

<span id="page-10-0"></span>

Fig. 5 Marker–trait associations for final emergence percentage (FEP) under cold (checkered square) and normal (striped square) conditions and for genotype mean (filled symbols) and Finlay-Wilkinson slope (unfilled symbols) of FEP (square), onset (hexagon)

and end (*diamond*) of emergence, uniformity (*right angled triangle*), emergence rate (circle) and the median of emergence time (upright triangle) and marker-trait associations for base temperatures (star) and thermal time of  $T_{50}$  (sigma)

to Kraakman et al. ([2004\)](#page-13-0) the co-localization of QTL for mean trait performance and stability parameters is an indicator for genotypic differences in the allelic sensitivity, while OTL for stability parameters which are far from any QTL for the trait itself, suggest a gene regulatory network in which adaptive genes switch on or off the constitutive genes influenced by the environment. In the present study, there is always a strong positive correlation between FW and mean genotype performance of  $T_1$ ,  $T_{50}$ , and  $T_{100}$ , since all genotypes emerge relatively fast under favorable conditions, while those with a low cold tolerance, emerge late at low temperatures. The same relation holds also true for  $T_{100} - T_1$  but not for FEP. The absence of co-localization of FW and mean genotype performance QTL for FEP suggests a gene regulatory network but another reason could be that FEP is influenced by seed quality. Negative effects on seed quality may result from some extremely late flowering genotypes, i.e., seeds may not have reached maturity at harvest time and immature seeds have a reduced FEP (Shepard et al. [1996\)](#page-13-0). In contrast to FEP, time of emergence includes only those seeds, which do emerge, and FEP QTL may also include QTL for flowering time. A common approach to separate seed quality QTL from QTL for cold tolerance is to use relative values (FEP at low temperatures over FEP at normal temperatures). The use of FW can be seen as a different approach to correct FEP data for differences in seed quality. A small slope indicates that FEP is not or only slightly affected by temperature



Fig. 6 Alignment of the genetic map of chromosome SBI-01 from Burow et al.  $(2011)$  $(2011)$  (a), the present study (b) and Knoll et al.  $(2008)$  $(2008)$ (c). Underlined and italic markers represent flanking markers of QTL for early field emergence or germination at 30  $^{\circ}$ C (a) and early vigor, early and late emergence (b). Symbols indicate final emergence percentage of over all environments (filled square), under cold (checkered square) and normal conditions (striped square)

regardless of FEP at higher temperatures, i.e., cold tolerant genotypes have a small  $FEP_{(FW)}$  value.

#### Genome regions affecting the germination process

Results of the present study confirm earlier QTL studies and show that most promising regions for emergence and cold tolerance during emergence are located on SBI-01 (Knoll et al. [2008;](#page-13-0) Burow et al. [2011](#page-13-0)). Burow et al. ([2011\)](#page-13-0) found QTL for early emergence close to Xtxp350 in the same region of a QTL for  $FEP_{(M)}$  and  $FEP_{normal}$  at DArT markers sPb-3891 and sPb-2583, respectively (Fig. 6). Knoll et al. ([2008\)](#page-13-0) described QTL for early field emergence flanked by SSR markers OPA19 and umc83. The latter was mapped close to sPb-8947 (Mace et al. [2008](#page-13-0)), which is associated with  $FEP_{(M)}$  in the present study. Another interesting region is between Xtxp043 and Xtxp032, where sPb-0090 was mapped according to Mace et al. ([2008\)](#page-13-0). sPb-0090 is associated with  $FEP_{(M)}$  and Xtxp043 is a flanking marker of a QTL detected by Burow et al. [\(2011\)](#page-13-0). High-resolution SNP maps allowing regional association studies are needed to identify candidate genes within these important QTL regions.

The rare allele of sPb-3801 on SBI-01 reduces  $T_{100} - T_{1(M)}$  and  $T_{100} - T_{1(FW)}$  and thus homogenizes the emergence process. Improving emergence percentage and uniformity leads to a better canopy establishment resulting in higher and more stable yields (Cisse and Ejeta [2003](#page-13-0)).

However, low seedling vigor and a prolonged juvenile development at low temperatures may lead to a delayed canopy closure and yield reduction despite high FEPs and uniformly emerging seeds. Thus, improving cold tolerance of a crop is not simply done by improving seed emergence. QTL for early vigor and field emergence were identified between Xtxp043 and Xtxp032 by Knoll et al. ([2008\)](#page-13-0). QTL regions affecting emergence and seedling vigor at the same time in the same direction may be the most promising ones for improving the cold tolerance of a crop.

The QTL for  $T<sub>b</sub>$  on SBI-01 is difficult to interpret since the marker allele, which decreases  $T<sub>b</sub>$ , increases  $E<sub>TS</sub>$ . The parameters are negatively correlated and both  $T<sub>b</sub>$  and  $E<sub>TS</sub>$ depend on the regression slope  $\beta_i$  (Eq. [6\)](#page-3-0) of the rates of development of  $T_{50}$  regressed against temperatures. If a marker allele has an effect on  $E_{TS}$  and the intersection between the regression lines of the negative and the positive allele is  $>0$ , the increase in  $E_{TS}$  leads to a decreasing  $T<sub>b</sub>$  and vice versa. Selection for  $T<sub>b</sub>$  makes only sense if  $E<sub>TS</sub>$ is not significantly affected (Fig. [2h](#page-6-0)) or positively affected (intersection  $\langle 0 \rangle$  at the same time.

Knoll et al. [\(2008](#page-13-0)) detected a QTL for germination at high (30 °C) and low (13 °C) temperatures on SBI-03. The QTL region is not the same as that one we identified on SBI-03 for FEP. Flanking markers of the earlier identified QTL mapped according to Mace et al. ([2008\)](#page-13-0) in the large gap our map shows on SBI-03. Anyway, the region between 4 and 5 cM on SBI-03 is a promising QTL region. The rare allele of sPb-7795 is associated with a positive effect on  $FEP_{(M)}$  and  $FEP_{cold}$  and the rare allele of the very close marker sPb-5454 decreases  $FEP$ <sub>(FW)</sub>, i.e., improves cold tolerance. Srinivas et al. [\(2009](#page-14-0)) detected QTL for maturity close to sPb-7795 on SBI-03 (Mace and Jordan [2011](#page-13-0)), which may support the hypothesis that maturity affects seed quality.

Zhang et al. [\(2005](#page-14-0)) detected in a rice RIL population two QTL for germination under low temperature on chromosomes 3 and 8. Rice chromosomes 3 and 8 are widely homologous to SBI-01 and SBI-07 according to Ventelon et al. ([2001\)](#page-14-0) and following the nomenclature of Kim et al. [\(2005](#page-13-0)). A major QTL for germination at optimal temperatures was found on rice chromosome 2 in a  $F<sub>2</sub>$  population (Li et al. [2011](#page-13-0)), chromosome 2 is globally homologous to SBI-04 (Ventelon et al. [2001\)](#page-14-0). We found marker–trait associations for  $FEP_{(M)}$  on SBI-01, SBI-03 and SBI-09 and for  $FEP_{(FW)}$  on SBI-04. The rare allele of sPb-4851 decreases FEP<sub>(FW)</sub> and  $T<sub>b</sub>$ . Probably a reduction of  $T<sub>b</sub>$  leads to less reductions of FEP under low temperatures.

QTL for maize germination percentage under low temperature conditions were identified on maize chromosome 4 (Hund et al. [2004](#page-13-0)). Liu et al. ([2011\)](#page-13-0) found QTL for maize germination percentage related to seed vigor on chromosomes 4, 7 and 10. We detected a QTL for  $FEP_{(FW)}$  on

<span id="page-12-0"></span>SBI-04 but no QTL for  $FEP_{(M)}$ ,  $FEP_{normal}$ , or  $FEP_{cold}$  on SBI-04 and SBI-05, which contain homologous regions of maize chromosome 4 (Whitkus et al. [1992](#page-14-0)) and for  $FEP_{(FW)}$  on SBI-08 carrying homologous regions of maize chromosome 10. Another promising region on SBI-08 between 73 and 111 cM carries no FEP QTL but three marker–trait associations with a positive effect of the rare allele on  $ER_{(FW)}$ ,  $E_{TS}$ , one QTL for  $T_b$ , and several QTL for traits related to emergence time. Limami et al. ([2002\)](#page-13-0) found QTL for  $T_{50}$  on maize chromosome 2, which is homologous to regions of SBI-02 and SBI-06 (Whitkus et al. [1992](#page-14-0)) and on maize chromosome 4, which is homologous to regions on SBI-04 and SBI-05 (Whitkus et al. [1992\)](#page-14-0). Our results show marker–trait associations for  $T_{50(M)}$  and/or  $T_{50(FW)}$  on SBI-04 and SBI-06. Possibly the same genes regulate cold tolerance during emergence of maize, sorghum and rice. In addition, the identification of candidate genes is required to provide more detailed information about the genetic background.

## Power and reliability of QTL detection

LD of the present sorghum population decayed within 8 cM, while average marker distance was 8.7 cM and the largest gap between markers was 66 cM. Large gaps in combination with fast LD decay make it impossible to screen the whole genome for significant marker–trait associations. However, mean LD values are useful but give no information about its local extent since high variation of LD among the genome occurs (Sorkheh et al. [2008](#page-14-0)) and LD varies also between groups of a population. We observed a higher mean  $R^2$  and critical  $R^2$  threshold for group 1 than for group 2. One reason could be the different population size of the groups but also differences in the number of polymorphic markers in the groups. Mean  $R^2$  of the whole population was higher compared to values obtained by Bhosale et al. (2011) and Hamblin et al. ([2004\)](#page-13-0). Both studies included wild sorghum accessions. Wild sorghums have higher outcrossing rates than cultivated ones and high outcrossing rates decrease the extent of LD.

Different strategies like integrating the population structure (Pritchard et al. [2000\)](#page-13-0) and familial relatedness (Yu et al. [2006\)](#page-14-0) have been used to reduce false positive marker–trait associations. Kinship coefficients are used to correct association studies for familial relatedness and show the probability that homologous loci are identical by descent. The MLM approach takes both population structure and kinship matrix into account while GLM as implemented in TASSEL 2.01 uses only the population structure (Casa et al. [2008](#page-13-0); Shehzad et al. [2009](#page-13-0)). Our results show that type I error rates of GLM are higher than those of MLM, which is in accordance with Neumann et al.  $(2010)$  $(2010)$ . Neumann et al.  $(2010)$  concluded that some

associations can only be detected by GLM but, since GLM may result in many false positive marker–trait associations, both approaches GLM and MLM should be used together.

We observed that controlling GLM type I error rates with a permutation test (Churchill and Doerge [1994](#page-13-0)) reduces the number of detected marker–trait associations to a similar level as MLM. However, approximately 50 % of the identified loci were shared by applying both methods and a subsequently carried out  $t$  test revealed that 16 of the shared marker–trait associations were not significant. In conclusion, even taking the population structure and/or familial relatedness into account both GLM and MLM may result in spurious marker–trait associations and comparing the results of different models may presently be the most useful way for detecting reliable associations (Shezad et al. 2009).

# **Conclusions**

In accordance with previous studies, we conclude from the present work that one of the most promising regions for improving FEP is located on SBI-01. However, the timepoint at which emergence occurs as well as across environment stability of FEP is likely be regulated by distinct QTL regions. Piecewise linear regressions gave a good estimate of the emergence process of different genotypes. However, the emergence model in combination with stability analysis was able to precisely describe the emergence process across different temperature regimes. This combination enabled the detection of QTL for GEI effects. An interesting alternative approach is to use physiologically more meaningful parameters like  $T<sub>b</sub>$  and  $E<sub>TS</sub>$  as input traits for QTL detection. A shift in  $T<sub>b</sub>$  without negatively affecting development processes is the most promising avenue to adapt crops to new cultivation areas with lower temperatures. However, the identification of stable markers and candidate genes for sorghum cold tolerance during emergence requires the development of high-density genetic maps.

Acknowledgments We thank Katharina Meyer for excellent technical assistance and gratefully acknowledge the German Federal Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF) for funding the project (BioEnergie 2021, Project No. 03154211). The authors would like to thank the anonymous reviewers for the helpful comments on the manuscript.

# References

- Afzal I, Basra SMA, Shahid M, Farooq M, Salem M (2008) Priming enhances germination of spring maize (Zea mays L.) under cool conditions. Seed Sci Technol 36:497–503
- Anda A, Pinter L (1994) Sorghum germination and development as influenced by soil-temperature and water-content. Agron J 86:621–624
- Bhosale SU, Stich B, Rattunde HFW, Weltzien E, Haussmann BIG, Hash CT, Melchinger AE, Parzies HK (2011) Population
- <span id="page-13-0"></span>Bradbury PJ, Zhang Z, Kroon DE, Casstevens TM, Ramdoss Y, Buckler ES (2007) TASSEL: software for association mapping of complex traits in diverse samples. Bioinformatics 23:2633–2635
- Brar GS, Stewart BA (1994) Germination under controlled temperature and field emergence of 13 sorghum cultivars. Crop Sci 34:1336–1340
- Breseghello F, Sorrells ME (2006) Association mapping of kernel size and milling quality in wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) cultivars. Genetics 172:1165–1177
- Brown RF, Mayer DG (1988a) Representing cumulative germination.1. A critical analysis of single-value germination indexes. Ann Bot 61:117–125
- Brown RF, Mayer DG (1988b) Representing cumulative germination. 2. The use of the Weibull function and other empirically derived curves. Ann Bot 61:127–138
- Burow G, Burke J, Xin ZG, Franks C (2011) Genetic dissection of early-season cold tolerance in sorghum (Sorghum bicolor (L.) Moench). Mol Breed 28:391–402
- Casa AM, Pressoir G, Brown PJ, Mitchell SE, Rooney WL, Tuinstra MR, Franks CD, Kresovich S (2008) Community resources and strategies for association mapping in sorghum. Crop Sci 48:30–40
- Churchill GA, Doerge RW (1994) Empirical threshold values for quantitative trait mapping. Genetics 138:963–971
- Crossa J, Burgueno J, Dreisigacker S, Vargas M, Herrera-Foessel SA, Lillemo M, Singh RP, Trethowan R, Warburton M, Franco J, Reynolds M, Crouch JH, Ortiz R (2007) Association analysis of historical bread wheat germplasm using additive genetic covariance of relatives and population structure. Genetics 177:1889– 1913
- Dumur D, Pilbeam CJ, Craigon J (1990) Use of the Weibull function to calculate cardinal temperatures in faba bean. J Exp Bot 41:1423–1430
- El Soda M, Nadakuduti SS, Pillen K, Uptmoor R (2010) Stability parameter and genotype mean estimates for drought stress effects on root and shoot growth of wild barley pre-introgression lines. Mol Breed 26:583–593
- Evanno G, Regnaut S, Goudet J (2005) Detecting the number of clusters of individuals using the software STRUCTURE: a simulation study. Mol Ecol 14:2611–2620
- Finlay KW, Wilkinson GN (1963) Analysis of adaptation in a plantbreeding programme. Aus J Agric Res 14:742–754
- Flint-Garcia SA, Thornsberry JM, Buckler ES (2003) Structure of linkage disequilibrium in plants. Annu Rev Plant Biol 54: 357–374
- Franks CD, Burow GB, Burke JJ (2006) A comparison of US and Chinese sorghum germplasm for early season cold tolerance. Crop Sci 46:1371–1376
- Hamblin MT, Mitchell SE, White GM, Gallego W, Kukatla R, Wing RA, Paterson AH, Kresovich S (2004) Comparative population genetics of the panicoid grasses: sequence polymorphism, linkage disequilibrium and selection in a diverse sample of Sorghum bicolor. Genetics 167:471–483
- Hill J, Becker HC, Tigerstedt PMA (1998) Quantitative and ecological aspects of plant breeding. Chapman and Hall, London
- Hsu FH, Nelson CJ, Chow WS (1984) A mathematical-model to utilize the logistic function in germination and seedling growth. J Exp Bot 35:1629–1640
- Hund A, Fracheboud Y, Soldati A, Frascaroli E, Salvi S, Stamp P (2004) QTL controlling root and shoot traits of maize seedlings under cold stress. Theor Appl Genet 109:618–629
- Ji SL, Jiang L, Wang YH, Zhang WW, Liu X, Liu SJ, Chen LM, Zhai HQ, Wan JM (2009) Quantitative trait loci mapping and stability for low temperature germination ability of rice. Plant Breed 128:387–392

 $\textcircled{2}$  Springer

- Kanemasu ET, Bark DL, Chinchoy E (1975) Effect of soil temperature on sorghum emergence. Plant Soil 43:411–417
- Kempenaar C, Schnieders BJ (1995) A method to obtain fast and uniform emergence of weeds for field experiments. Weed Res 35:385–390
- Kim JS, Klein PE, Klein RR, Price HJ, Mullet JE, Stelly DM (2005) Chromosome identification and nomenclature of Sorghum bicolor. Genetics 169:1169–1173
- Knoll J, Ejeta G (2008) Marker-assisted selection for early-season cold tolerance in sorghum: QTL validation across populations and environments. Theor Appl Genet 116:541–553
- Knoll J, Gunaratna N, Ejeta G (2008) QTL analysis of early-season cold tolerance in sorghum. Theor Appl Genet 116:577–587
- Kotowski F (1926) Temperature relations to germination of vegetable seed. Proc Am Soc Hortic Sci 23:176–184
- Kraakman ATW, Niks RE, Van den Berg P, Stam P, Van Eeuwijk FA (2004) Linkage disequilibrium mapping of yield and yield stability in modern spring barley cultivars. Genetics 168:435–446
- Lacaze X, Hayes PM, Korol A (2009) Genetics of phenotypic plasticity: QTL analysis in barley, Hordeum vulgare. Heredity 102:163–173
- Li M, Sun PL, Zhou HJ, Chen S, Yu SB (2011) Identification of quantitative trait loci associated with germination using chromosome segment substitution lines of rice (Oryza sativa L.). Theor Appl Genet 123:411–420
- Limami AM, Rouillon C, Glevarec G, Gallais A, Hirel B (2002) Genetic and physiological analysis of germination efficiency in maize in relation to nitrogen metabolism reveals the importance of cytosolic glutamine synthetase. Plant Physiol 130:1860–1870
- Liu JB, Fu ZY, Xie HL, Hu YM, Liu ZH, Duan LJ, Xu SZ, Tang JH (2011) Identification of QTLs for maize seed vigor at three stages of seed maturity using a RIL population. Euphytica 178:127–135
- Mace ES, Jordan DR (2011) Integrating sorghum whole genome sequence information with a compendium of sorghum QTL studies reveals uneven distribution of QTL and of gene-rich regions with significant implications for crop improvement. Theor Appl Genet 123:169–191
- Mace ES, Xia L, Jordan DR, Halloran K, Parh DK, Huttner E, Wenzl P, Kilian A (2008) DArT markers: diversity analyses and mapping in Sorghum bicolor. BMC Genomics 9:26
- Cisse ND, Ejeta G (2003) Genetic variations and relationships among seedling vigor traits in sorghum. Crop Sci 43:824–828
- Neumann K, Kobiljski B, Denčić S, Varshney R, Börner A (2010) Genome-wide association mapping: a case study in bread wheat. Mol Breed 27:37–58
- Pritchard JK, Stephens M, Donnelly P (2000) Inference of population structure using multilocus genotype data. Genetics 155:945–959
- Pswarayi A, van Eeuwijk FA, Ceccarelli S, Grando S, Comadran J, Russell JR, Pecchioni N, Tondelli A, Akar T, Al-Yassin A, Benbelkacem A, Ouabbou H, Thomas WTB, Romagosa I (2008) Changes in allele frequencies in landraces, old and modern barley cultivars of marker loci close to QTL for grain yield under high and low input conditions. Euphytica 163:435–447
- Reymond M, Muller B, Leonardi A, Charcosset A, Tardieu F (2003) Combining quantitative trait loci analysis and an ecophysiological model to analyze the genetic variability of the responses of maize leaf growth to temperature and water deficit. Plant Physiol 131:664–675
- Schimpf DJ, Flint SD, Palmblad IG (1977) Representation of germination curves with logistic function. Ann Bot 41:1357–1360
- Shehzad T, Iwata H, Okuno K (2009) Genome-wide association mapping of quantitative traits in sorghum (Sorghum bicolor (L.) Moench) by using multiple models. Breed Sci 59:217–227
- Shepard HL, Naylor REL, Stuchbury T (1996) The influence of seed maturity at harvest and drying method on the embryo, alpha-

<span id="page-14-0"></span>amylase activity and seed vigour in sorghum (Sorghum bicolor (L) Moench) Seed. Sci Technol 24:245–259

- Snapp S, Price R, Morton M (2008) Seed priming of winter annual cover crops improves germination and emergence. Agron J 100:1506–1510
- Sorkheh K, Malysheva-Otto LV, Wirthensohn MG, Tarkesh-Esfahani S, Martinez-Gomez P (2008) Linkage disequilibrium, genetic association mapping and gene localization in crop plants. Genet Mol Biol 31:805–814
- Srinivas G, Satish K, Madhusudhana R, Nagaraja Reddy R, Murali Mohan S, Seetharama N (2009) Identification of quantitative trait loci for agronomically important traits and their association with genic-microsatellite markers in sorghum. Theor Appl Genet 118:1439–1454
- Stich B, Mohring J, Piepho HP, Heckenberger M, Buckler ES, Melchinger AE (2008) Comparison of mixed-model approaches for association mapping. Genetics 178:1745–1754
- Timson J (1965) New method of recording germination data. Nature 207:216–217
- Tiryaki I, Andrews DJ (2002) Germination and seedling cold tolerance in sorghum: I. Evaluation of rapid screening methods. Agron J 94:389
- Tiryaki I, Buyukcingil Y (2009) Seed priming combined with plant hormones: influence on germination and seedling emergence of sorghum at low temperature. Seed Sci Technol 37:303–315
- Trudgill DL, Squire GR, Thompson K (2000) A thermal time basis for comparing the germination requirements of some British herbaceous plants. New Phytol 145:107–114
- Vanhala TK, Stam P (2006) Quantitative trait loci for seed dormancy in wild barley (Hordeum spontaneum c. Koch). Genet Resour Crop Evol 53:1013–1019
- Ventelon M, Deu M, Garsmeur O, Doligez A, Ghesquiere A, Lorieux M, Rami JF, Glaszmann JC, Grivet L (2001) A direct comparison between the genetic maps of sorghum and rice. Theor Appl Genet 102:379–386
- Vieth E (1989) Fitting piecewise linear-regression functions to biological responses. J Appl Physiol 67:390–396
- Wade LJ, Hammer GL, Davey MA (1993) Response of germination to temperature amongst diverse sorghum hybrids. Field Crop Res 31:295–308
- Weibull W (1951) A statistical distribution function of wide applicability. J Appl Mech 18:293–297
- Whitkus R, Doebley J, Lee M (1992) Comparative genome mapping of sorghum and maize. Genetics 132:1119–1130
- Yu JM, Pressoir G, Briggs WH, Bi IV, Yamasaki M, Doebley JF, McMullen MD, Gaut BS, Nielsen DM, Holland JB, Kresovich S, Buckler ES (2006) A unified mixed-model method for association mapping that accounts for multiple levels of relatedness. Nat Genet 38:203–208
- Zhang ZH, Su L, Li W, Chen W, Zhu YG (2005) A major QTL conferring cold tolerance at the early seedling stage using recombinant inbred lines of rice (Oryza sativa L.). Plant Sci 168:527–534
- Zhang ZW, Ersoz E, Lai CQ, Todhunter RJ, Tiwari HK, Gore MA, Bradbury PJ, Yu JM, A.Arnett DK, Ordovas JM, Buckler ES (2010) Mixed linear model approach adapted for genome-wide association studies. Nat Genet 42:355–360